## IN THE COURT OF APPEALS OF THE STATE OF IDAHO

## Docket No. 35499

STATE OF IDAHO, 2009 Unpublished Opinion No	
Plaintiff-Respondent,	) Filed: April 3, 2009
v.	) Stephen W. Kenyon, Clerk
EARL AUGUSTUS BOYER,	) THIS IS AN UNPUBLISHED ) OPINION AND SHALL NOT
Defendant-Appellant.	) BE CITED AS AUTHORITY
Falls County. Hon. G. R	Court of the Fifth Judicial District, State of Idaho, Twin chard Bevan, District Judge.
0 1	and requiring execution of unified five-year sentence, e term, for aggravated assault, <u>affirmed</u> .
Greg S. Silvey, Boise, fo	appellant.
Hon. Lawrence G. Wa Attorney General, Boise,	sden, Attorney General; Jessica M. Lorello, Deputy for respondent.
Before	PERRY, Judge; GUTIERREZ, Judge;

## PER CURIAM

Earl Augustus Boyer pled guilty to aggravated assault. I.C. § 18-901. The district court imposed a unified five-year sentence with a two-year determinate term, but after a period of retained jurisdiction, relinquished jurisdiction. Thereafter, Boyer filed an I.C.R. 35 motion for reduction of his sentence. The district court granted Boyer's motion, suspended his sentence, and placed him on probation. Subsequently, Boyer admitted to violating the terms of the probation, and the district court consequently revoked probation and ordered execution of the original sentence. Boyer appeals, contending that the district court abused its discretion in revoking probation and that the sentence is excessive.

It is within the trial court's discretion to revoke probation if any of the terms and conditions of the probation have been violated. I.C. §§ 19-2603, 20-222; *State v. Beckett*, 122

Idaho 324, 325, 834 P.2d 326, 327 (Ct. App. 1992); *State v. Adams*, 115 Idaho 1053, 1054, 772 P.2d 260, 261 (Ct. App. 1989); *State v. Hass*, 114 Idaho 554, 558, 758 P.2d 713, 717 (Ct. App. 1988). In determining whether to revoke probation a court must examine whether the probation is achieving the goal of rehabilitation and consistent with the protection of society. *State v. Upton*, 127 Idaho 274, 275, 899 P.2d 984, 985 (Ct. App. 1995); *Beckett*, 122 Idaho at 325, 834 P.2d at 327; *Hass*, 114 Idaho at 558, 758 P.2d at 717. The court may, after a probation violation has been established, order that the suspended sentence be executed or, in the alternative, the court is authorized under Idaho Criminal Rule 35 to reduce the sentence. *Beckett*, 122 Idaho at 326, 834 P.2d at 328; *State v. Marks*, 116 Idaho 976, 977, 783 P.2d 315, 316 (Ct. App. 1989). A decision to revoke probation will be disturbed on appeal only upon a showing that the trial court abused its discretion. *Beckett*, 122 Idaho at 326, 834 P.2d at 328.

Sentencing is also a matter for the trial court's discretion. Both our standard of review and the factors to be considered in evaluating the reasonableness of a sentence are well established and need not be repeated here. *See State v. Hernandez*, 121 Idaho 114, 117-18, 822 P.2d 1011, 1014-15 (Ct. App. 1991); *State v. Lopez*, 106 Idaho 447, 449-51, 680 P.2d 869, 871-73 (Ct. App. 1984); *State v. Toohill*, 103 Idaho 565, 568, 650 P.2d 707, 710 (Ct. App. 1982). When reviewing the length of a sentence, we consider the defendant's entire sentence. *State v. Oliver*, 144 Idaho 722, 726, 170 P.3d 387, 391 (2007).

When we review a sentence that is ordered into execution following a period of probation, we do not base our review upon the facts existing when the sentence was imposed. Rather we examine all the circumstances bearing upon the decision to revoke probation and require execution of the sentence, including events that occurred between the original pronouncement of the sentence and the revocation of probation. *Adams*, 115 Idaho at 1055, 772 P.2d at 262; *State v. Grove*, 109 Idaho 372, 373, 707 P.2d 483, 484 (Ct. App. 1985); *State v. Tucker*, 103 Idaho 885, 888, 655 P.2d 92, 95 (Ct. App. 1982).

Applying the foregoing standards, and having reviewed the record in this case, we cannot say that the district court abused its discretion either in revoking probation or in ordering execution of Boyer's original sentence without modification. Therefore, the order revoking probation and directing execution of Boyer's previously suspended sentence is affirmed.